Case3:07-md-01827-SI Document4454 Filed12/29/11 Page1 of 4

1	Richard M. Heimann (State Bar No. 63607) LIEFF, CABRASER, HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP 275 Battery Street, 29th Floor	
2		
3	San Francisco, CA 94111-3339 Telephone: (415) 956-1000	
4	Facsimile: (415) 956-1008	
5	Bruce L. Simon (State Bar No. 96241) PEARSON, SIMON, WARSHAW & PENNY, LLP 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 2450 San Francisco, CA 94104	
6		
7	Telephone: (415) 433-9000 Facsimile: (415) 433-9008	
8	Co-Lead Counsel for the Direct Purchaser Class Plaintiffs	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
10		
11		
12		
13	DIDE TET I CD (ELAT DANIEL)	
14	IN RE TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST LITIGATION	Case No. M07-1827 SI
15		MDL No. 1827
16	This Document Relates To: ALL DIRECT PURCHASER CLASS ACTIONS	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND ENTERING FINAL JUDGMENT OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE AS TO DEFENDANTS HITACHI, LTD.; HITACHI
17		
18		DISPLAYS, LTD.; AND HITACHI ELECTRONIC DEVICES (USA), INC.
19		Date: December 19, 2011
20		Time: 4:00 p.m. Courtroom: 10, 19th Floor
21		The Honorable Susan Illston
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	000520.1	1
	930538.1	- 1 - CASE NO. 07-1827 SI; MDL NO. 1827

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND ENTERING FINAL JUDGMENT OF DISMISSAL AS TO DEFENDANT HITACHI, LTD.; HITACHI DISPLAYS, LTD.; AND HITACHI <u>ELECTRONIC DEVICES (USA), INC.</u>

This matter has come before the Court to determine whether there is any cause why this Court should not approve the settlement with defendants Hitachi, Ltd., Hitachi Displays, Ltd., and Hitachi Electronic Devices (USA), Inc. ("Hitachi") set forth in the Settlement Agreement ("Agreement"), dated August 3, 2011, relating to the above-captioned litigation. The Court, after carefully considering all papers filed and proceedings held herein and otherwise being fully informed in the premises, has determined (1) that the settlement should be approved, and (2) that there is no just reason for delay of the entry of this final judgment approving the Agreement. Accordingly, the Court directs entry of Judgment which shall constitute a final adjudication of this case on the merits as to the parties to the Agreement. Good cause appearing therefore, it is:

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

- 1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation, and all actions within this litigation and over the parties to the Agreement, including all members of the Class and Hitachi.
- 2. The definitions of terms set forth in the Agreement are incorporated hereby as though fully set forth in this Judgment.
- 3. The Court hereby finally approves and confirms the settlement set forth in the Agreement and finds that said settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Class pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 4. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(g), Class Counsel, previously appointed by the Court (Lieff, Cabraser, Heimann & Bernstein, LLP and Pearson, Simon, Warshaw & Penny, LLP), are appointed as Counsel for the Class. These firms have, and will, fairly and competently represent the interests of the Class.
- 5. The persons/entities identified in [Amended] Direct Purchaser Class Plaintiffs' Notice of Class Member Exclusions [Dkt. No. 2384] have timely and validly requested exclusion from the Class and, therefore, are excluded. Such persons/entities are not included in or bound by this Final Judgment. Such persons/entities are not entitled to any recovery from the settlement proceeds obtained through this settlement.

- 6. The Court hereby dismisses on the merits and with prejudice the individual and class claims asserted against Hitachi, with Plaintiffs and Hitachi to bear their own costs and attorneys' fees except as provided herein.
- 7. All persons and entities who are Releasors are hereby barred and enjoined from commencing, prosecuting, or continuing, either directly or indirectly, against the Hitachi Releasees, in this or any other jurisdiction, any and all claims, causes of action or lawsuits, which they had, have, or in the future may have, arising out of or related to any of the Released Claims as defined in the Agreement.
- 8. The Hitachi Releasees are hereby and forever released and discharged with respect to any and all claims or causes of action which the Releasors had or have arising out of or related to any of the Released Claims as defined in the Agreement.
- 9. The notice given to the Class of the settlement set forth in the Agreement and the other matters set forth herein was the best notice practicable under the circumstances, including individual notice to all members of the Class who could be identified through reasonable efforts. Said notice provided due and adequate notice of those proceedings and of the matters set forth therein, including the proposed settlement set forth in the Agreement, to all persons entitled to such notice, and said notice fully satisfied the requirements of Rules 23(c)(2) and 23(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the requirements of due process.
- 10. Only two class members have objected to the settlement. Those objections have been overruled in a separate order.
- 11. Without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any way, this Court hereby retains continuing and exclusive jurisdiction over: (a) implementation of this settlement and any distribution to class members pursuant to further orders of this Court; (b) disposition of the Settlement Fund (c) hearing and determining applications by the Class Representatives for representative plaintiff incentive awards, attorneys' fees, costs, expenses, including expert fees and costs, and interest; (d) Hitachi until the final judgment contemplated hereby has become effective and each and every act agreed to be performed by the parties all have been performed pursuant to the Agreement; (e) hearing and ruling on any matters relating to the plan of allocation